UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,236	09/15/2003	Eric J. Larsen	SONYP029	3753
25920 7590 07/11/2008 MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			EXAMINER	
			OMOTOSHO, EMMANUEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/663,236	LARSEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	EMMANUEL OMOTOSHO	3714
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-19,21 and 46-50 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4-19,21,46-50 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

This is in response to the RCE filed 4/28/08 in which claims 1, 4, 13-14 and 46 were amended. Claims 1, 4-19, 21 and 46-50 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-26,28-44 and 46-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kang US Patent 6,009,210 and further in view of Kanade et al. US Patent 6,151,009.
- 4. Kang teaches a method for processing interactive user control for a view of a scene displayed on a virtual window comprising:
- 5. In regards to claims 1,14,22,25,28,34,35,39,43,46,47,52,53,57

Art Unit: 3714

a. Identifying a head of a user that is to interact with the scene (Col 1 lines 43-47 and Col 3 lines 29-32)

- b. Storing an initial frame of image data representing the head of the user(Col 3 lines 29-35)
- c. Tracking the identified head of the user during display of the scene, the tracking enabling detection of a change in position of the head of the user (Abstract)
- d. Tracking including identifying a search region within a frame of the user image data and comparing values within the search region to template values of the initial frame of the stored image data; adjusting a view frustum (which is being interpreted as the "view of the user into the virtual world") in accordance with the change in position of the head of the user (Col 2 lines 34-43 and Col 3 lines 4-21)
- e. Repeating the identifying the search region, the comparing, and adjusting for successive frames of the scene, wherein the comparing is performed with the initial frame of the stored image data (Col 2 lines 34-43 and Col 9 lines 17-44)
- f. A computing device and a display screen in communication with the computing device configured to display image data defined through a view-frustum (Fig 1)
- g. Tracking device is a camera (Fig 1)
- 6. In regards to claims 2,15,53, a view frustum initially defined by a triangular gaze projection set between outer edges of a virtual window and a virtual position of the head

Art Unit: 3714

when the virtual position of the head is normal to a center point of the virtual window (Figs 1-3)

- 7. In regards to claim 3,16,23-24,53 adjusting the view-frustum moves the virtual position of the head away from normal relative to the center point of the virtual window (Col 2 lines 34-43)
- 8. In regards to claim 4,17, virtual position of the head being away from normal relative to the center point of the virtual window changes an angle of the triangular gaze projection, the change in angle of the triangular gaze projection displays a change in viewing angle of the scene provided by the video clip (Col 4 line 60- Col 5 line 49)
- 9. In regards to claim 5, the change in viewing angle of the scene is a result of the detected movement of the head of the user to enable the interaction with the scene (Col 4 line 60- Col 5 line 49)
- 10. In regards to claim 1,6-7,14,22-23,26,28-29,31,34,39,41,42,46,49,50,52 Kang fail to specifically teach
 - h. Adjusting a scale of the scene according to a change in a distance of the head of the user from a capture device
 - i. Using a capture device having depth-capturing capability.
- 11. Kanade teaches the use of a depth capturing camera for interaction with a view of a scene (abstract)
- 12. One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would have been motivated to have a system that interacts with a view of a scene using depth capturing

Application/Control Number: 10/663,236

Art Unit: 3714

device to adjust a scale of the scene according to a change in a distance of the head of the user from the capture device. Using a depth camera, the system would be able to

Page 5

- j. Obtain distance or depth information from the object being tracked (inherent of "depth camera)
- k. Determine any interaction such as occluding, shadowing, reflecting or colliding (Kanade abstract)
- Generate appropriate output based on said determination (Kanade abstract)
- 13. In regards to newly added limitations that further defined and claimed the definition of the view frustum defined by a triangular gaze projection set between outer edges of the virtual window and a position of the head when the position of the head is substantially normal to about a center point of the virtual window. Applicant should respectfully note that this is the known view projection associated with depth cameras. Depth cameras acquire and process recieved image data by performing a triangular coordinate transformation on each recieved pixel. The depth information is processed by reading the objects current location in reference to the windows center point (i.e. the need for calibration and storing initial image) and transforming the received location to an (x,y,z) coordinates. Where x and y corresponds to a position that relates to a position on the display screen and z corresponds to the distance from the position to camera. Hence the triangular projection. A constant focus on the

Art Unit: 3714

object has to be maintained throughout the process for the system to be able to maintain the correct coordinates.

- 14. In regards to claim 8,30, the initial frame of image data is marker-less (Fig 1)
- 15. In regards to claim 9, the initial frame of data is maintained throughout the video clip (Fig 1)
- 16. In regards to claim 10,48,54 the video clip is of a video game. Although Kang teaches the method to be generally associated with virtual environment in computer systems, Kang further teaches that video games are well known in the art as virtual environment in a computer system (Col 1 lines 26-40)
- 17. In regards to claim 11, the interaction with the scene by tracking movement of the head of the user is independent of user hand-held controls for interacting with the video game (Col 1 lines 5-9)
- 18. In regards to claim 12,32,40,55, the method operation of tracking the identified head of the user during display of the video clip includes tracking a facial portion of the head and matching gray scale image data associated with the facial portion to image associated with a template of the facial portion (Col 9 lines 20-33)
- 19. In regards to claim 13,18-20,24,26,33,35,41,42,44,50,56, the method of adjusting a view frustum in accordance with the change in position of the head of the user includes identifying a point of interest of the scene of the video clip and modifying the view-frustum so that the point of interest appears at a constant position when displayed in successive video clips (Kang Claim 6). The Examiner should point out that each

Art Unit: 3714

frame in Kang's disclosure has to be scanned before the scene transformation could occur.

20. In regards to claim 21,36-38,49-51, the method operation of translating a view frustum in accordance with the change in position of the head of the user includes rotating the view-frustum about the head of a user according to the change in position of the head of the user (Kang Claim 5).

Response to Arguments

21. Applicant's arguments filed 7/16/07 with respect to claims 1-26,28-44 and 46-57 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Arguments

- 22. Applicant's arguments filed 12/04/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 23. On page 20, applicant argues, "Further, Kang would not adjust a scale of the scene according to a change in a distance of the head of the user from a capture device as specified in claims I, 14, 22, 28, 33, 39, 46 and 52, or include a camera having depth capability as specified in claims 7 and 31, since the change in relative object depth compared to the distance to the camera must be small under the affine model (see column 5 lines 58-63). For the affine model to work, it is required that the surface appear planar. In order for the face to appear planar, the distance from the user to the camera must be relatively large. The use of a depth camera would render moot the entire affine model principles for which Kang is constructed"

Application/Control Number: 10/663,236

Art Unit: 3714

24. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kang's invention is directed to 2-D affine "transformation". Kang teaches that the technique is suitable for planar surfaces with an affine camera model especially when the user is far from the camera. Therefore, a depth camera would not render moot Kang's affine model technique. Please see Col 6:44-60 of Kang.

Page 8

- 25. On page 21, applicant argues, "The Examiner indicates that the teachings of Kanade would motivate one skilled in the art to interact with a view of a scene using depth capturing to adjust a scale of the scene according to a change in distance of the head of the user. Although Kanade teaches the identification of depth, the depth is only needed to capture the user, who needs to stand in from of a backdrop. These teachings do not teach to adjust the scale of the scene. In fact, the position of the user's head is in no way tied to any adjustment in a scene. The only distance tracking done is to identify where the user is located, so that objects can be positioned in and around the scene. As a further distinction, the "scene", as claimed is different from the area captured by Kanade. Specifically, in the claimed invention, the view-frustum is adjusted in accordance with the change in position of the head of the user and the adjusting of a scale of the scene takes placed according to a change in a distance of the head of the user from a capture device. In Kanade, the user is "in the scene". That is, the user is captured, and then injected into the scene. What the user does in the scene in no way performs adjustments. Indeed, if the user moves his head, the scene is not adjusted." 26. However, Kanade is not being relied upon for the teaching of adjusting the scale
- of the scene. As shown above, Kang teaches adjusting the scale of the scene based on

Art Unit: 3714

user location information received from a camera. Kanade is being used to show that using depth capturing image to capture depth information of a user during a gaming session is old in the art (Kanade abstract).

Response to Arguments

27. Applicant's amendments/arguments filed 4/28/08 have been fully considered. Please see paragraph 13 above which addresses the newly added limitations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMMANUEL OMOTOSHO whose telephone number is (571)272-3106. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 10-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3714

EO

/Ronald Laneau/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

07/04/08